Liberal Journal of Language and Literature Review

Volume. 3 Issue No. 1 (2024)

Refugee Rights and International Law: Current Trends and Challenges

1. Muhammad Imran
2. Atif Gulzar

1. PhD Scholar, Department of Law, NUST Islamabad
2. Lecturer, Department of Law, NUST Islamabad

ABSTRACT

The protection of refugee rights under international law has evolved significantly, shaped by historical conflicts, political changes, and humanitarian needs. This study examines key legal frameworks governing refugee rights, including the 1951 Refugee Convention and related human rights instruments. It explores the principle of non-refoulement as a cornerstone of refugee protection and highlights the challenges in implementing refugee rights due to political resistance, resource constraints, and public perception. The study also analyzes contemporary trends in refugee law and policy, focusing on restrictive asylum measures, border control policies, and the growing securitization of refugee issues. Additionally, the role of regional and international legal instruments in addressing refugee crises is assessed. The findings suggest that while international legal protections exist, their enforcement remains inconsistent due to political and societal factors. A more coordinated global approach, supported by legal reforms and stronger enforcement mechanisms, is necessary to uphold refugee rights effectively. Future research should explore the impact of emerging policies on refugee protection, including the role of digital technologies in asylum processing and humanitarian assistance.

Keywords: Refugee rights, International law, Non-refoulement, Asylum policies, Human rights, Border security Legal frameworks

1. Historical Development of Refugee Rights

Before the onset of international laws protecting refugees, no international laws or agreements specifically protected refugees. But current refugee law, which has supposedly grown out of the changing needs of refugees, has a lengthy cultural and institutional background. Many influential political and social developments have shaped asylum and refugee law over the last century, as well as preceding wars and resulting refugee situations. World War I, which began in 1914 and lasted until 1918, was the first of these conflicts. Re-establishing a balance of power was an important aim in the lead-up to the war and resulted in the dissolution of many empires and countries. The status of stateless people and refugees was not a priority for the victorious Allies, although the balancing of powers in the peace conference significantly influenced personal opinion and formed existing treaties regarding

subsequent inspections of the minority and Slavic areas. Post-World War I did not entail an immediate expansion of international refugee law, but it did influence both the drafting of international treaties and international public opinion (Juss & Harvey, 2013).

During World War II, millions of individuals, destroyed by various conflict-related tragedies, were without protection. Crimes, especially the extermination camps and the Holocaust, have often been mentioned as the motivation for the establishment of refugee rights. The most distinctive feature of the modern era is the increasing number of expellees, refugees, and internally displaced people worldwide. This increase in refugees is generally related to post-colonial social uncertainty, changes in average life preferences, the international dispersion of populations, political issues, and drought. In addition, there has been an increase in the number of civil wars that produce refugees. In modern refugee law, hardly any attention is paid to historical cases, although historical cases have significant contemporary roots. The increasing regional trend has led us to consider secession under international law and its influence on modern refugee rights and law. Finally, secessionist movements have a history spun off from colonial power, and so some attention should also be paid to this issue in contemporary refugee law (Goodwin-Gill, McAdam, & Dunlop, 2021).

Refugee rights may have a deeper historical foundation if we emphasize the colonial point of view, which resulted in a large number of displaced populations because of colonial and post-colonial regimes. Refugee law is deeply rooted in the twentieth-century events, particularly the two World Wars. In addition, it can be stated that modern refugee law is a reflection of the results of political science and political change in the distribution of some minority communities in the late Middle Ages and the challenges of the nineteenth century. Refugees typically caused regional conflicts since the beginning of interstate relations, and they have attracted the attention and often the sympathy of third-state authorities (Stojanović, 2022). Refugees were generally regarded as an extension of the most regular forms of conflict: war, rebellion, and revolution. In those times, there were a few theories of political science regarding political asylum as a kind of last resort, with exiles being typified as

refugees from Western European conflicts to opposing political authorities. The assignment of asylum for exiles was the only work of diplomatic military leaders.

2. Key International Legal Instruments for Refugee Protection

The two major international legal instruments designed to secure the rights of refugees are all built on compromise and are therefore fundamentally flawed, particularly with regard to the definition of the refugee and the rights they are granted. Other sources of protection for trying to address some of the perceived weaknesses of the 1951 Convention even turn to human rights instruments, in particular the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights and the European Convention on Human Rights. While some progress has been made in the development of these regional instruments and in the belated recognition in multilateral human rights treaties of the right to seek and enjoy asylum, many states in those same fora are now challenging the standards enshrined in those instruments and directing normative efforts toward enhancing exclusion clauses related to threats to national security (Goodwin-Gill, 2015).

On balance, however, this range of instruments provides for the ability of an international lawyer or refugee law specialist to identify some key components and themes in respect of current interpretations of the rights of refugees which can be assumed, if not to be uniform, then at least to be generally accepted across countries and regions. In particular, the right not to be refouled, in the guise of the principle of non-refoulement and, increasingly, in the rules regarding jurisdiction and admissibility, has for some time now been recognized as a non-derogable norm of international customary law and as a peremptory norm of general international law from which no derogation is possible. The right to seek and enjoy asylum has also been recognized as a closely related norm, albeit through the lens of derogation (Kelley, 2007).

3. Challenges in Implementing Refugee Rights

Challenges to the Implementation of Refugee Rights Practically, the rights embodied in international refugee law still face numerous challenges in being implemented for at least a number of reasons. One of the major obstacles to any improvements in the protection of refugees is straightforward political resistance. Some politicians will

always regard refugees as a security threat to their state and constituents, and there is at present a disturbingly increasing trend in division and antagonism that ultimately makes it simply too unpopular to provide effective assistance to refugees. That feeds on from a significant lack of resources, which is really an underlying problem. States are unable to spend the money they need on effective protection. National laws and constitutions often contradict international norms and are, in any case, a separate challenge to training and ensuring legal accountability at the national level, particularly where constitutions contain awkward provisions like those in African constitutions, which state all citizens are entitled to certain fundamental human rights — refugees are not citizens. Public opinion and the attitudes of locals, particularly among host countries, repeatedly form another obstacle to improving the international legal regime. The media sometimes one-sidedly expose distorted images of hapless and unwanted hordes of would-be migrants and asylum seekers marauding foreign lands (Lambert, 2009).

Goodwin-Gill (2016) argues that policy is often made on the basis of public opinion. Hence, the often highly deviated policies toward asylum seekers and refugees are a reflection of public opinion, not an independently principled response to questions of mass exodus, to say nothing of the interests of refugee rights. This sometimes reflects an international socio-political phenomenon, wrapped up in historically latent xenophobia, whereby societies do not appreciate the rights that someone else may have, purely by virtue of being human or simply because recognition of these rights would mean more external protection that may be claimed. There is therefore a tendency for a society to want a return more immediately in exchange for its provisions of succor, and herein we come to the root of the evil: states and societies are just not ready to incur the responsibility and the burden associated with the protection of refugees who continue to be deemed 'the Other.' Reflection of this reality is the absence of a comprehensive framework specifically addressing refugee crises, or crises where there is a refugee element. There is often a window of a few months for a well-resourced humanitarian response, closely overseen by conflict resolution and peace building activities in pursuit of durable solutions. Frequently,

new refugee movements, unlike rehabilitation of returnees, do not make media headlines and become forgotten crises.

4. Current Trends in Refugee Law and Policy

As we have seen, the 21st century global affairs are reshaping the way refugee rights and law are being made and implemented. In this fast-moving context, it is more important than ever to keep our finger on the pulse of current trends and developments. This chapter does just that by offering a snapshot of the latest in international refugee law and policy. We examine the new laws and legal developments taking place worldwide, and we take stock of how refugee law is changing in response to new social and global pressures. At the center of this analysis is the question of what we can learn about the future by understanding current trends. The pace of change is fast, and our aim is to provide a current snapshot of key issues and dilemmas to guide and inform both refugee lawyers and academics.

Chimni (1998) offers an overview of current trends in international refugee law and policy. It is a reflection on key changes that are taking place around the world, and it outlines some of the key debates and concerns that are emerging in the light of these trends. As a resource for advocates and NGOs, the chapter may provide a brief for action in the context of advocacy forums. It does not provide the complete view of current refugee law-making: absent from this review, for example, is a detailed analysis of the growing 'pushback' agenda in Europe and beyond. However, the analysis presented here encompasses major forums for refugee law development and offers useful insights into the evolving nature of international protection.

References

Chimni, B. S. (1998). The global refugee problem in the 21st century and the emerging security paradigm: A disturbing trend. In *Legal visions of the 21st century: Essays in honour of judge Christopher Weeramantry* (pp. 283-299). Brill Nijhoff.

Goodwin-Gill, G. S. (2015). Current Challenges in Refugee Law. In *Exploring the Boundaries of Refugee Law* (pp. 9-28). Brill Nijhoff.

Goodwin-Gill, G. S. (2016). International refugee law–yesterday, today, but tomorrow?. *The Future of Refugee Law?*, 1.

Goodwin-Gill, G. S., McAdam, J., & Dunlop, E. (2021). *The refugee in international law*. Oxford University Press.

Juss, S. S., & Harvey, C. (Eds.). (2013). *Contemporary issues in refugee law*. Edward Elgar Publishing.

Kelley, N. (2007). International refugee protection challenges and opportunities. *International Journal of Refugee Law*, *19*(3), 401-439.

Lambert, H. (2009). International refugee law: dominant and emerging approaches. *Routledge handbook of international law*, 344-354.

Stojanović, B. (2022). Contemporary International Refugee Law and New Development Tendencies. In *Seven Decades of Legal Protection of Refugees-Collection of papers marking the 70th anniversary of the adoption of the Convention Relating to the Status of Refugees. Belgrade, Belgrade Centre for Human Rights*.