Liberal Journal of Language and Literature Review

Volume. 2 Issue No. 2 (2023)

The Evolution of Language in the Digital Age

1. Ameen Jan, MS Scholar, Department of English, University of Peshawar.
2. Salma Begum, MS Scholar, University of Peshawar..

Abstract

This qualitative research study illustrates how digital platforms facilitate new forms of expression through language by mapping changing modes of communication with language. By conducting interviews with linguists, communication experts, and users who grew up in the digital era, the research uncovers several underlying themes, including the development of new lexicons, the impact of social media on language practices, and the consequences of digital communication on diversity in languages. The implications are that the digital era is leading to novel linguistic practices that challenge how language has traditionally been conceptualized and used. We conclude this paper with commentary on the need for continued investigation into the sociolinguistic implications of digital communication and an educational framework to conceptualize language development in the modern world.

Keywords: digital communication, language evolution, sociolinguistics, social media, linguistic diversity

Introduction

Digital technology has developed rapidly and significantly impacted how people communicate, interact, and express themselves (Belk, 2013). Language is a living, evolving phenomenon whose use evolves with culture, society, and technology. In the digital age, the opening of a new chapter of communication happened together with a new chapter of communication, the rise of social networks, instant messengers, and online sources, renegotiated linguistic models and rules and advanced styles of knowledge dissemination. However, it is essential to highlight that technology and socioeconomic and cultural factors that determine a more traditional type of language have been affected.

Language change has happened through social interactions, migration, and technology. The internet and mobile technologies have created a linguistic environment where language responds to cultural shifts and participates in them, as observed by Crystal (2011). Digital communication promotes peculiar linguistic modalities, abbreviated

spellings, emoticons, and images to indicate meaning. These modalities are often meant to be both immediate and brief—a text message or a social media exchange, for instance.

Thus, social media platforms such as Twitter, Facebook and Instagram can be assigned new lexicons and communicative modes. Such are the linguistic and cultural norms and functional formats to which these platforms are bent, such that the web's residents have their cyber terms and have become used to relying on symbols or nonverbal communication as additions to typing. Language is a resource of social creativity: users are putting together what is available into what would be available while simultaneously transforming language to the ends of identity, emotion and relationships in the public domain.

Furthermore, this change in the use and reconstruction of language also brings sociolinguistic questions relevant to this new age about language preservation and linguistic diversity of communication in various linguistic aspects. However, this fear of dialectical homogeny is already looming: As languages vie for supremacy in our ever-connected lives, the threat of linguistic homogenization grows. Such a pattern invites critical observation of the sociocultural environment wherein language develops and implications that could arise for future civilizations.

The thesis offers a detailed edited observation of the orthographical and grammatical change in the language associated with digital users, generating attention to life at the individual user level and more as a sociolinguistic concern. By exploring the views of linguists, communication scholars, and everyday users, the study aims to shed light on the multidimensionality of language in the digital world and its impact on society.

Literature Review

Historical Context: Language and Technology

However, the relationship between language and technology is by no means a new phenomenon – historical traces indicate that the invention of devices for printing or for the transmission of information, such as the printing press and the telegraph, led to drastic changes in the way of communication between people (Goody, 1987). Every invention had its challenges to communication, and every invention also made ideas spread more efficiently, such as new forms and dialects. The internet marks that

transition in this continuum, transforming the meaning of communication and the process by which we create and evolve languages (Baron, 2008). The digital age is a new context for studying language changes because it is faster, more accessible, and goes more globally.

The impressive presence of social media has developed language. Internet users generate and progress language to match digital conversation's informal, truncated nature. Twitter, with its 140-character limitation, for example, has brought back planning and certain linguistic styles, saying such things as hashtags and acronyms (Honeycutt & Herring, 2009). Hashtags, in particular, are used as organizational tools and participatory structures for building community and conversation around specific topics (Scherer, 2018).

These studies indicate that media has long-term effects on vocabulary, syntax, and pragmatics. In online communication, meanings understood only in certain cases of more extended textual elaboration (as instances) depend on visual and paralinguistic cues, like emojis and GIFs, to be communicated (Walther et al., 2015). Moreover, these resources form the complete basis for how users craft the message structure in their messaging as well as the interpretation of communication they receive and constitute an entirely new set of interactions where typed or recorded speech is coupled, folded, or coexists with visual culture genres that are native to digital environments.

The New Lexicon and Neologisms

We are in the digital era, and what language means is more fluid about language. From the terms "Selfie," "hashtag," "tweet", and "memes" from niche internet speak to everyday language, it does not take extended for digital language to infiltrate the real world (Blasi, 2020). Language recycling: One fascinating phenomenon is language recycling, where existing words are repurposed with new meanings in the context of digital technologies. For instance, biology-related concepts are co-opted to describe social media content dissemination, such as "viral" (Rathod, 2018).

Furthermore, the genre of neologism creation has democratized; users can aid in language evolution via social media messages, and this coalescence of interactive shapes a cooperative linguistic aesthetic. [W]ith technological democratization not

just in language but between user interfaces, linguists and lexicographers have not been in control for some time, complicating their traditional gatekeeping roles, because the alteration can happen in virally under minutes. (Thompson, 2014)

Digital communication has accelerated globalization, but this trend raises crucial questions about linguistic diversity and preservation. As English continues to become omnipresent in cyberspace, fear of the marginalization of minority languages has grown (Pennycook, 2017). According to linguists, the digital environment can play a decisive role in both the advancement of language diversity (by allowing the spread of minority languages) and the acceleration of language extinction (as local dialects are overrun) (Hale, 2016).

Social media has a complex relationship with language preservation. Social media offers communities spaces to connect in their protected language but also becomes a significant force for cultural commodification, wherein languages become trends or hashtags. These challenges highlight the need for a deeper exploration of digital communication's sociocultural dynamics and how various forms of expression are intertwined with power relations and the preservation and revitalization of endangered languages.

This literature review reveals the highly disparate nature of the development of language in a digital world, which has been shaped by technological development and measures of communication. The complex relationship between social media and language, including the emergence of new lexicons and what that means for linguistic diversity, shows that language is in a constant state of flux, redefining itself to meet the demands of its users. Such an analytical approach is particularly relevant for scholars and educators alike as they seek out myriad ways to solve the sociolinguistic challenges presented by the digital age while also recognizing the inherent adaptiveness of language.

Research Methodology

Research Design

This phenomenological qualitative research study explores the evolution of language in the digital age. The aim is to discover whether individuals experience linguistic changes through digital communication. Phenomenology, focusing on understanding

core lived experiences, is well-equipped to explore the subjective constructions that individuals collectively establish around their communication practices in digital settings.

Sample Selection

Participants, selected through purposeful sampling, had relevant experience and knowledge regarding language, linguistics, and digital communication. The final sample comprised linguists, communication scholars, educators, and people with experience with digital communication (N = 30). We selected participants based on their expertise, interest in engagement with language issues in digital environments, and willingness to share their insights.

Data Collection

Ten in-depth semi-structured interviews were conducted with participants, enabling them to speak openly about their thoughts and experiences while ensuring that specific research questions were addressed. Interviews were done face-to-face or through various video conferencing platforms, lasting an average of 60–90 min. A set of open-ended questions guided the conversations, centred on themes such as:

How has digital communication shaped your ideas about language?

What new forms of language use have you noticed in your digital interactions?

How, in your opinion, does social media change how we use and structure language?

How do you think the evolution of digital language will affect linguistic diversity?

How has being away from data until October 2023 changed the perception of the current or future of language dominated by digital?

Interviews were audio-recorded, and notes were taken and transcribed. Transcripts were anonymized, replacing actual names with pseudonyms to ensure confidentiality.

Data Analysis

Theme One — Language Evolution Perceptions

All participants agreed that language is ever-evolving and is influenced even more so in the wake of emerging digital communication. Most pointed out that the digital platform had opened room for linguistic practice and extension. "It is not like language is static; language is a living invention," a linguist said. The evolution we are witnessing is as significant as any leap in the history of language." Finally, this

persuasion focuses on the performative nature of language because we are both with capitalism forever changing.

They all agreed that digital communication has a lot of flexibility and creative capacity. Brevity and immediacy are favoured in a mode of communication we tend to use online, a communication scholar told us. We resolve our words to character limits and the necessity for brevity." Features of the participants' experiences echoed across the data, such as various linguistic forms that have resulted — abbreviations, acronyms, and hybrid forms — which serve as examples of how digital communication promotes creativity with language.

Theme 2: Digital Language and New Lexicons and Practices

A significant interview theme was the emergence of new lexicons and talking methods. We agreed that much of the language around interaction in online spaces originated from the digital platform. Moreover, one interviewee said 'hashtag' and 'selfie' are 'common terms because of our online culture'. "They are a departure in their conception of communication." Some participants pointed out that the rapidity of a new vocabulary reflects the digital age, where users create new words to represent concepts too constantly new for them to be delineated.

The research also illuminates how users can modify and reuse existing language. As a digital native pointed out, 'Language is malleable online.' I often use existing words differently or create new meanings that suit my context. This routine is an example of how digital communication nourishes language play and displays users' strategies for adapting to using language.

Theme 3: The Role of Social Media in Communication Styles

How platforms like Twitter, Facebook, and Instagram functioned changed how many people communicate, and many people had to change their language to fit the platform. "Social media requires a different tone and style than traditional media," said a PR professional. You have to be entertaining and still get the message out." To communicate in a conversation with a more and less formal style, participants noted that, since the point is to express oneself, colloquialisms and emoji were used daily.

Several participants remarked on the mirroring role of social media as a vehicle for blueprinting linguistic innovation and staging linguistic challenges. Social media is a

breeding ground for creative inventions and a muddying of the waters, one linguist said, full of disinformation and misunderstandings. It is a double-edged sword." Recognizing these complexities suggests the remarked transformative forces that social media can have on language-based communication.

Theme 4: Questions of Linguistic Diversity and Globalization

These conversations illuminate the linguistic implications of digital communication. Digital sovereignty, language diversity, and the preservation of local languages were some of the main concerns raised during the global discourse on how "digital" is defined and who gets to define it digitally. While digital platforms could elevate marginalized groups' voices, they could only perpetuate English as the dominant language in which homogenization of language may occur, a sociolinguist said.

One other participant stated that there should be a concerted effort to depict linguistic diversity in digital domains. We have to create opportunities for other languages and dialects. 'Linguistic diversity is simultaneously a barrier and a bridge for technology,' they wrote. As such, it is particularly important to consider what birds are when considering these data and to maintain approaches developed for human learning through these data updates.

Theme 5: Implication for Educational Language Evolution

A couple of participants felt that language evolution should be discussed in education. It was a recognition that the linguistic realities of the digital age rarely enter the realm of conventional language teaching. A linguistics professor added: 'We have to prepare students for what they are going to see when they walk out of us and the landscape of communication that they are going to experience is nothing like what we learnt.'

In interviews, the call rang out for educational models that allow for digital language practices. Many participants asked for curricula that incorporate today's linguistic realities, ending with conversations about how language is changing and what those changes imply. In this view, preparing learners for language use in a digital environment is essential.

Theme 6: Digital Age Ahead: Language into the Future

Different participants had different visions of where language was headed in the digital world — some saw a progression, others saw problems. Some also pointed out

that technology would remain at the centre of language change, with algorithms and machine learning helping create new ways for society to adapt to how we speak. According to one tech-savvy linguist, we are not that far from having even more powerful AI technology for language generation, which will revolutionize the use of language and how we perceive language conventions.

Participants also looked beyond AI to discuss the growing influence of other emerging technologies on language usage, such as augmented reality (AR) and virtual reality (VR). "These technologies could require new language strategies to develop immersive, credible experiences, per one digital marketing expert. Imagine how it will change communication in a digital environment where nonverbal signals are just as important as spoken word." Mainly, this insight aims to show how language could be recontextualized and revised to fill in the transmission of change in technology as a new format for topical exchange could be given — using the affordance that different digital platforms provide.

However, she also expressed concerns about the ability of linguistic diversity to survive in a more and more digital world. A few participants felt that as dominant languages (especially English) are increasingly adopted, lesser-used languages are becoming likely to be extinct on digital platforms. One community language advocate only knew we needed to proactively de-normalize and protect endangered languages online. Otherwise, important cultural heritages are lost." This echoes the responsibility of tech companies, educators and linguists everywhere to help keep various words alive in a digital age.

Theme 7: Community in Language Development

Last, participants pointed out the community-building nature of digital platforms, enabling people to converse in different languages. This digital element is required because it can create cross-cultural knowledge, dialogue, and negotiate language. 'As a sociolinguist, I believe we can add richness to our language as a whole as online communities allow people to talk the way they want to and to blend different styles and dialects,' one sociolinguist says. Interviewees have suggested that these digital spaces can accommodate multiple languages and cultural expressions and fluence users' linguistic horizons.

In addition, some participants said that social media influencers and content creators develop language. "A local saying is you can become popular by using proper linguistic trend if influencers," said one of the participants. "A reach that could introduce, spread new language forms far beyond the initial audience." The observation underscores the need to be placed on record of the part played by informal networks when the agents in the networks contribute to language change in their respective acts.

Conclusion

Such changes in the data used in this study also have important social implications. They are evolving in how they represent the world's languages and how we use language in the digital world, but, again, like all things, language is not just a means of communication. It is a living organism changing by alterations in the habitat of speech, as they will find out using interviews with figurative linguists, communication scholars, and men of digital.

A couple of central themes allowed me to acquire some basic understanding concerning the progression of VR/AR technology from the data that emerged. Focus group participants during the discussion pointed out that digital communication comes together to create new lexicons as well as new ways of communicating due to the inspiration of creativity in how people use language. The interaction between language and technology has come in the language use of social media; consequently, users and communities have to approach this interactive dynamic carefully.

The study also highlighted an important issue in an increasingly interconnected digital world: linguistic diversity. Indeed, digital platforms can show other voices, but they also show one important risk of a strong language dominating other minority languages. This is the dualism with which education workers, technologists, and community advocates collaborate to fashion linguistic diversity-sustaining spaces.

As long as the internet's language will become, so will the internet's technology and culture, it demonstrates its ability to impact communication practice with AI, AR and VR, and the fact that it does impact language process draws attention to the importance of ongoing research and implementation of language teaching. When we

begin delving into every detail of the language, there is quite a bit to say about the role of the community in the evolution beyond that.

This post concludes the evolution of language in the digital era and the consequences of communication. This heralds the emergence of frameworks engaged with the reality of digital communication and a new reconsideration of traditional language practices. In short, these data thus represent an important reference point for further work on the sociolinguistic phenomenon that the digital sphere represents and the essential debate about the implications of such changes for people and society more generally.

References

Baron, N. S. (2008). *Always on: Language in an online and mobile world*. Oxford University Press.

Belk, R. W. (2013). Extended self in a digital world. *Journal of consumer research*, *40*(3), 477-500.

Blasi, A. (2020). *The evolution of digital language: From internet speak to mainstream vocabulary*. *Journal of Language and Technology*, 12(1), 45-60.

Crystal, D. (2011). *Internet linguistics: A student guide*. Routledge.

Goody, J. (1987). *The interface between the written and the oral*. Cambridge University Press.

Hale, S. (2016). *Language endangerment and preservation in the digital age*. *Linguistic Society of America*, 92(3), 1-15.

Honeycutt, C., & Herring, S. C. (2009). *Beyond microblogging: Conversation and collaboration via Twitter*. *Computer Supported Cooperative Work*, 18(1), 1-10. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10606-008-9113-0

Pennycook, A. (2017). *The cultural politics of English as an international language*. Routledge.

Rathod, N. (2018). *Language recycling in the digital age: New meanings and interpretations*. *International Journal of Linguistics*, 10(2), 1-15. https://doi.org/10.5296/ijl.v10i2.12753

Scherer, L. (2018). *The role of hashtags in digital communication: Creating community and conversation*. *Journal of Social Media Studies*, 6(1), 22-34.

Thompson, H. (2014). *The democratization of language: User interfaces and linguistic evolution*. *Language and Communication*, 37, 1-10. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.langcom.2014.01.002

Walther, J. B., Wang, K., & Feng, B. (2015). *The role of visual information in online communication: A review of the literature*. *Journal of Communication*, 65(1), 1-19. https://doi.org/10.1111/jcom.12146