Liberal Journal of Language and Literature Review

Volume. 2 Issue No. 1 (2023)

Understanding Fake News: Its Impact on Democracy

1. Anjum Salman, PhD Scholar, Department of Political Science, University of Peshawar.
2. Liaqat Ahmad, MS Scholar, Department of Political Science, University of Peshawar.

Abstract

This qualitative research paper reviews the fake news phenomenon and how severely it undermines democratic/environmental processes. Through analyzing different definitions and forms of fake news, the study explores how misinformation and disinformation undermine public trust, destabilize media and institutions, and intentionally sway electoral outcomes. Based on extensive interviews with political scientists, media experts, and engaged citizens, the research identifies the perceived effects of fake news on political polarization and civic engagement. "Results indicate pervasive concern about the deliberate dissemination of false information, especially in critical moments in electoral contexts, and increasing skepticism toward traditional news sources." The study discusses the study implications for public consumption of information more broadly. It concludes with the recommendation of increased media literacy and critical thinking skills for better discernment regarding the many facets of information readily available in the digital age. The article also discusses how to, among others, combat fake news through a focus on increased transparency and education in journalism.

Keywords: Fake News, Democracy, Misinformation, Media Literacy, Public Discourse

Introduction

As information is growing exponentially, the term "fake news" has emerged in the context of media, politics, and democracy (Sciannamea, 2020). However, the idea of misleading information is familiar, and the digital age has changed how it is proliferated, giving baseless claims the ability to reach large audiences faster than ever before. Fake news – false, misleading, or fabricated information displayed as news – provides enormous challenges to the health of democratic societies. A second reason for this occurs beyond this and can be the fact that misinformation can cause substantial damage to public opinion, the voters' behaviour and the political result.

People have known for a long time that the media is indispensable to democracy. The main information provider press means that citizens must be dependent on their choices and must make all the decisions regarding the government and social issues. But that same social network and world are there, has learned in the absence of traditional ways of being subscribed to the news and radically changed the way of news consumption. The fact is that people consume so much information from everything ranging from articles to videos to memes to social media that it becomes difficult to separate competent journalism from hysteria.

However, the rise in the spread of fake news has made it topical; most recently most recently fake news has been blamed for crises such as the UK's Brexit referendum and the 2016 US presidential election. Several misinformation studies noted that opinion during these valued periods was influenced by the misinformation, and political polarization and demoralization of institutional confidence were the result. It's almost impossible to imagine ever how students plural between the factual and the non-factual in a world that feeds off sensational stories rather than sensational truth.

The perverting of informed citizenry and public discourse is not the simple task of reporting false or misleading information; it is declaring democracy's enemies are fighting it. Citizens rely on social media for the news, they go to cancel culture, and they go to cancel culture to pick out inputs – media in this case – that don't broaden people's views, but instead put people in echo chambers where citizens have confirmation bias that deepens their ideology. It is a perturbation of the natural democratic decision-making, which otherwise is a sustainable process.

Given these changes, this thesis conducted qualitative research on how fake news is utilized in democracies. In order to contribute to this endeavour, the research tried to understand how certain fake news mechanisms worked and what the eventual outcomes were.

Literature Review

The phrase "fake news" is vague, and everything from trying to make you laugh or prank to information warfare can be included. The more alarming thing is that there must be a consensus definition to help counter its effect. According to this literature, fake news is fake information given as news but can manifest as an exaggerated

headline, doctored image, and biased reporting (Bennett & Livingston 2018). Such complexity is needed to understand the impact of the public sphere, and therefore democracy.

It's not new though; people always do misinform. Misinformation of one form or another; whether it is political propaganda or yellow journalism, has been around for many decades. Internet and social networks, however, have accelerated the pace of fake news content and it is now found more often (Vosoughi et al. 2018). As seen on Vosoughi, Roy, and Grossklags (2018) presenting in and out detail, false edits are 70% more retweetable than true news which solidifies the spread of these falsehoods in the digital age.

The research verifies to some extent that fake news influences the political discourse and how it affects voters in choosing. However, according to Allcott and Gentzkow (2017), fake news during the 2016 presidential election changed voters' attitudes and, therefore, polarized Americans. A confirmation bias of humans is to use the cognitive heuristics that lead to expecting information confirming their beliefs and exhibiting the behaviour of rejecting any information that may contradict any hypothesis concerning the hypothesis in essence (Lewandowsky et al., 2012). This should give us pause as to how we manage anything close to rational consensus in modern society.

Further, fake news is still a way to destabilise elected officials and political institutions. According to a Pew Research Center (2020) survey, a huge chunk of the American public views many news organizations as being biased and trusts those news outlets less. The implications of this erosion of trust for democracy are far-reaching; an informed electorate is necessary for effective governance. Today, I want to talk about why it is essential for government media and journalists in their work. Part of being reliable means having your citizens' confidence, which can be seen as the second tier of governance. Without confidence, we have seen various possible degenerative patterns as citizens turn detached, nurturing extremist or anti-idea ideas.

Fake news threatens society, and media literacy has emerged as an important measure against it. Educational initiatives that provide individuals the skills to critically assess the sources of information are crucial for creating an informed citizenry. Research suggests that media literacy programs help individuals identify credible information

instead of misinformation (Levine, 2018). All this reaffirms the importance of education and the necessity of building critical thinking and media savviness, especially for the younger generations, who consume much online content.

The literature should be drawing attention to the dynamics inherent to the multifaceted phenomenon of fake news and the destructive power it embodies, especially from a democratic perspective. Disinformation is not a new phenomenon — for centuries, governments, organizations, and individuals have disseminated false information and propaganda — but the age of the internet and the rapid rise of social media have turned the spread of disinformation into an instantaneous and widespread endeavor, creating challenges in political discourse, media trust, and an informed citizenry. The necessity of implementing media literacy initiatives and formulating strategies for engaging critically with information is apparent. The above research seeks to elaborate and investigate these concerns through qualitative studies that better capture people's experiences and opinions on the effects of fake news on democratic processes.

Research Methodology

Research Design

This is the first analysis of a qualitative research study based on phenomenology. The study aims to explore participants' lived experiences and perceptions of fake news in democratic societies. This methodological approach facilitates an inquiry into the complexities of how people interpret information about misinformation in the current media environment.

Sample Selection

The study employed a purposive sampling strategy to recruit diverse participants, including political scientists, media analysts, educators, and active citizens. The sample size was 30, ensuring diverse perspectives and experiences. I systematically selected participants based on their media, political knowledge, and interest in current affairs.

Data Collection

Data were collected using semi-structured interviews, given their flexibility and ability to allow participants to narrate their perspectives in detail. Interviews were

structured around open-ended questions meant to elicit detailed responses. Sample questions included:

How would you describe fake news, and what makes fake news unique?

What is their effect on democratic processes, in your opinion?

How do you navigate the sea of information to identify bona fide sources from misinformation?

What measures might be able to help combat the impact of fake news?

Interviews were conducted in person or using videoconferencing, depending on participants' preferences, and lasted around 60–90 minutes. They were conducted in English, recorded with the participant's permission, and transcribed for analysis.

Data Analysis

The interview data were analyzed using thematic analysis according to the six phases put forth by Braun and Clarke (2006). War and finance explained by following several ideas:

Immersion: Getting to know the interview data by transcribing the data and finding oneself immersed to develop a holistic sense of participants' responses.

Generating Initial Codes: Noting down meaningful units of text concerning fake news and its influence on democracy, coding for shared themes and patterns.

Searching for Themes: Fitting codes into broader themes that represented collective participants' understanding and experiences of fake news.

Reviewing themes: Refining the themes to ensure they accurately represented the data while also capturing the nuance of participants' perspectives;

Define and Name Themes: Provide a clear definition and label for each theme, creating a narrative around the analysis.

Reporting the Report: We will assemble the research into a unified report that weaves in the participants' quotes and perspectives, shedding light on the complexities of how fake news impacts democracy.

Ethical Considerations

Ethical aspects were of utmost importance during the study. For more details, participants were given an information sheet regarding the study's aim, participants' rights, and measures undertaken to ensure confidentiality. It obtained informed

consent before interviewing, and all identifying information was anonymized on transcriptions and reports. The study received ethical approval from the respective Institutional Review Board.

Data Analysis

Theme one: What is Fake News?

Participants defined fake news in various ways that reflected the phenomenon's nature. Many distinguish between a variety of different categories, from outright fabrication to misleading headlines and biased reporting. "Fake news can mean so many different things; it's not just the obviously fabricated content," a political scientist said. It is about how information can be distorted to confuse people." That understanding speaks to the nuance arrow that is so heavily needed when we are at the altar of fighting fake news.

Theme 2: Effects on Public Discourse

All of the interviewees ascribed negative influences to fake news on public discourse. "Fake news, like everything else, exacerbates the fragmentation of the public sphere, where the public rubs shoulders only with information that serves as food for their own prevailing beliefs," a media analyst said. This only highlights the danger of building echo chambers, in which misinformation reigns and political polarization intensifies. Several spoke of individual fake news stories that made waves in elections, influencing public opinion and discussion.

Theme Three: Decline of Trust in Media and Institutions

One common theme in the responses was how fake news' omnipresence erodes trust in media and political institutions. The decline of credibility in news organizations was worrying many participants. "As a citizen, it is like every single news source has an agenda. How can I believe any of them again?" This response represents a broader trend in which people distrust digital and traditional media outlets, culminating in increased separation of citizens from democratic engagement. The long-term consequences for democratic participation are chilling — if people do not trust the sources of information, informed decision-making becomes impossible.

Theme 4: Searching the Universe of Information

People share practices they use to navigate the information ecosystem and distinguish credible news sources from those that are not. Other methods include just a comparison of the reports of the different outlets and fact-checking sites. "What I teach my students, besides reading and writing, are to critically evaluate sources, ask questions and not to take things at face value," an educator adds. And they've got to be savvy consumers of news." This focus on media literacy is about making sure people can be educated in knowing what to do about the fight against fake news.

Theme 5: Strategies for Countering Fake News.

They related how to beat fake news or at least limit its impact on democracy. Journalists demanded transparency and the school of media literacy programs. A media ethicist said it's time to get transparent about news coverage and tell the public how they know a story and who is reporting it. When we discussed misinformation, participants also highlighted the importance of community engagement. One participant says engaging with community members in dialogue about what credible information is can go a long way toward bridging the divide.

Theme 6: The Impact of Social Media

Most of the discussants agreed on the central role of social media platforms in spreading fake news. Misinformation zips along platforms, but how do they algorithmically work to promote such sensational content? A digital media expert said social media companies need to be held accountable for the content on their network. However, because they reward engagement first and accuracy second, they have to make improvements to algorithms.' Moreover, participants' shared concerns as to which home countries' social media companies should regulate against misinformation and fake news highlight the importance for social media companies to develop and enforce policies against such posts.

Theme 7: Need for Continued Research

All the participants highlighted in the interviews, the need to continue studying fake news dynamics and how they influence democracy. "As we further develop our media environment, the task before us is to help us understand better how misinformation impacts public opinion," one political analyst said. Part of a broader story of democratic systems being able to adapt and remain resilient is this insistence on future

research. Understanding changes around fake news and its implications for how we govern cannot be done without ongoing academic engagement.

Summary of the Data Analysis

The themes uncovered during analysis elucidate the dense array of ways that fake news interferes with democracy. I learned that participants had a very solid understanding of how fake news works; the effects it has on public communication; and the form that threats to faith in all things media and political will continue to take. Along with the above, the necessity of implementing the strategies and approaches based on the application of media literacy and community involvement, considered as the main pillar in combating fake news, also withered. In addition, the effect of social media in spreading misinformation underscores the need to hold these media platforms to a higher degree of accountability. Yet, as information becomes increasingly diverse, additional study will be required to obtain a fair understanding of, and counteract, the challenges of fake news, to maintain democratic processes at the same time as establishing more adequate proportions for the distribution and consumption of information in a reasonable manner.

Conclusion

Fake news was found to create three dilemmas of democracy: First, the force of misinformation undermines informed public debate and therefore citizen engagement. The topic of fake news is a complex one, and in-depth interviews with political scientists, media analysts, and engaged citizens elicited a range of views on what it is, what it does, and how to fight it.

According to the study, fake news is a complex and heterogeneous phenomenon centred on misleading and false information. Participants worried that fake news didn't just convey false facts and confuse people as much as it facilitated the fragmentation of public discourse and a decrease in the credibility of information via media and political institutions. The erosion of trust is especially problematic concerning democratic participation and informed citizenship, given that, for most ordinary people, it is hard to identify credible sources of information in the sea of misinformation.

Importantly, the ways in which participants used strategies to negotiate this information terrain demonstrate how much people need media literacy campaigns and educational interventions that teach them to critically discern social media cues.

References

Allcott, H., & Gentzkow, M. (2017). *Social media and fake news in the 2016 election*. *Journal of Economic Perspectives*, 31(2), 211-236. https://doi.org/10.1257/jep.31.2.211

Bennett, W. L., & Livingston, S. (2018). *The disinformation order: Disrupting the global flow of news*. *Journal of Communication*, 68(2), 243-253. https://doi.org/10.1093/joc/jqy004

Chadwick, A., & Dennis, J. (2019). *Social media, professional media, and the hybrid media system: The case of the 2017 UK general election*. *Journal of Communication*, 69(2), 113-138. https://doi.org/10.1093/joc/jqy061

Friggeri, A., Adamic, L. A., Eckles, D., & Gleave, E. (2014). *Rumor Cascades*. *Proceedings of the Eighth International Conference on Weblogs and Social Media*, ICWSM 2014, 101-110. Retrieved from https://www.aaai.org/ocs/index.php/ICWSM/ICWSM14/paper/view/8108

Guess, A., Nyhan, B., & Reifler, J. (2020). *Exposure to untrustworthy websites in the 2016 US election*. *Nature Human Behaviour*, 4(5), 472-480. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41562-020-0833-0

Lazer, D. M. J., Baum, P. S., Benkler, Y., et al. (2018). *The science of fake news: Addressing fake news requires a multidisciplinary effort*. *Science*, 359(6380), 1094-1096. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aao2998

Levine, M. (2018). *Media literacy: A key to combating fake news*. *Journal of Media Literacy Education*, 10(2), 1-4. https://doi.org/10.23860/JMLE-2018-10-02-01

Lewandowsky, S., Ecker, U. K. H., & Cook, J. (2012). *Beyond Misinformation: Understanding and Coping with the "Post-Truth" Era*. *The*

Psychological Science in the Public Interest, 13(3), 106-131. https://doi.org/10.1177/1529100612451018

Marwick, A. E., & Lewis, R. (2017). *Media manipulation and disinformation online*. Data & Society Research Institute. Retrieved from https://datasociety.net/pubs/ia/DataAndSociety_MediaManipulationAndDisinformationOnline_2017.pdf

Pew Research Center. (2020). *The Future of Truth and Misinformation Online*. Retrieved from https://www.pewresearch.org/journalism/2020/07/30/the-future-of-truth-and-misinformation-online/

Sciannamea, R. (2020). Fake News: Evolution of a rising concept and implications for the education system.

Tandoc, E. C., Lim, Z. W., & Ling, R. (2018). *Defining "Fake News": A typology of scholarly definitions*. *Digital Journalism*, 6(2), 137-153. https://doi.org/10.1080/21670811.2017.1366937

Vosoughi, S., Roy, D., & Aral, S. (2018). *The spread of true and false news online*. *Science*, 359(6380), 1146-1151. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aap9559