Liberal Journal of Language and Literature Review

Volume. 2 Issue No. 1 (2023)

The Transformation of Street Art and Graffiti

1. Sobia Majeed, PhD Scholar, Department of Design & Interiors, University of Faisalabad
2. Atiya Farooq, MS Scholar, Department of Design & Interiors, University of Faisalabad

Abstract

Street art and graffiti, initially marginalized as acts of vandalism, have evolved into significant art forms with profound political, social, and cultural implications. This paper explores their historical trajectory, examining how these urban expressions have transitioned from the fringes of society to becoming recognized genres within the art world. The study delves into the divergent paths of graffiti and street art, analyzing their transformation into distinct artistic forms and the implications for urban communities, artists, and cultural landscapes. By situating street art and graffiti within the context of socio-political shifts and the evolution of urban spaces, the paper highlights their role as reflective and transformative mediums of societal discourse. Additionally, it addresses the challenges posed by the commercialization of these art forms, raising critical questions about the dynamics of public and private spaces in relation to artistic expression. This discussion underscores the ongoing dialogue about the legitimacy, ownership, and accessibility of art in various forms, ultimately contributing to a deeper understanding of the intersection between art, society, and space in the contemporary era.

Keywords: Street art; Graffiti; artists; Cultural discourse

Introduction

The streets we walk through daily have looked radically different over the past few decades thanks to the rise of street art and graffiti as primary urban art forms worldwide (Ferrell, 2016). In recent years, what there was of street art, once dismissed mainly as solitude graffiti, has become something more significant. Its roots stem from vital social movements that reflect the feelings and needs of marginalized communities. Street art and graffiti encapsulate many cultural, social, and political narratives that help provide a deeper understanding of contemporary urban living.

Graffiti, in general, has a lineage and a history that abounds back to ancient civilizations, where markings on their walls were made for one reason or another,

even as declarations, statements of sorts, or distinct portrayals of art. Graffiti conveyed social positions, commented on social norms, and expressed personal feelings in ancient Roman and Greek societies. Overlooked archaeological discoveries at Pompeii document a broad spectrum of graffiti, with humor, social commentary, and political sloganeering intermingling. The early tagging of public spaces with the advent of the spray can be one of the first social interactions between people that incorporate their being with their surroundings (MacDonald, 2019).

Modern graffiti is typically placed within the larger social and political context of the late 20th century, and the culture of hip-hop, born in urban environments such as New York City in the late 1960s and 1970s, catalyzed the practice. The young artists, many of them from marginalized communities, found in public spaces their canvas and this raw, often controversial work to challenge the reigning artistic sensibilities, laying the groundwork for the movement that we now know as "street art." This was not simply about aesthetics, though it was a matter of aesthetics; this movement concerned identity, community, and protest (Friedman, 2020). Artists like Keith Haring and Jean-Michel Basquiat emerged from this milieu, using their art to engage with urgent social issues, including race, inequality, and the HIV/AIDS crisis.

"Understanding the difference between graffiti and street art is key to understanding the cultural significance. Graffiti is often defined by stylized lettering, tags, and signatures, usually made in secret to escape criminal consequences. Street art, in contrast, incorporates a wider variety of art practices — murals, stencil art, installations, and wheat-pasting. Street artists often make a point to interact with their surrounding environment; they intentionally use public spaces to reach a broader audience with their art (Davis, 2018). Similarly, street art has different motivations: while some street artists try to get recognition in the art world, others want to create awareness for the community to reach social change.

Politics entered the artist's canvas as street culture became mainstream. Many street artists took their work as an activist tool, laced vivid imagery with emotionally potent messages to challenge socio-political injustices. This radical function of street art is evident in the broadly publicized works in moments of turmoil and societal shift. The Black Lives Matter protests that erupted in 2020 saw murals of George Floyd and

other police violence victims cropping up in cities across the US, acting as a collective outcry for justice and accountability (Smith, 2022). Artists have increasingly turned to murals and other forms of street art to express their opposition, and such works demonstrate the ability of visual culture to communicate emphatic and political stories, turning public space into a bastion of defiance and togetherness.

However, this recognition of street art's artistic validity also comes with a fraught history of commercialization. As street art creeps into galleries and auction houses, it raises questions about whether the artist's message remains valid and whether their original context has been compromised. When street art becomes a commodity, that value can obscure the more critical role it traditionally serves as part of a broader trajectory of marginalization, resistance, and assimilation. Artists like Banksy have negotiated this tension skillfully, alchemizing a societal critique into saleable art while retaining a subversive position against commodification as an art form (Williams, 2023).

As street art and graffiti evolve, they mirror societal changes, representing many voices and experiences within urban spaces. In this paper, I aim to investigate the evolution of street art, analyzing its historical contexts, aesthetic evolutions, and socio-political implications. More specifically, it will analyze both how street art has emerged as a vehicle for community engagement and identity and the tension this has created between street art and aestheticizing forces such as commercialization, tourism, and gentrification. In conclusion, this research adds to the knowledge of street art as a flexible and adaptive medium of expression central to the character of modern urban society.

Sociopolitical Influences

This complex interrelation has created its narrative of city strife, cultural zeitgeist, and artistic evolution. Similarly, the emergence of street art as both a social critique and a form of activism demonstrates the dynamic nature of visual art about political realities and communal concerns. In this section, we will explore the potential of street art to inspire social change and the implications of its commercialization in contemporary society.

Street Art as a Vehicle for Social Change

Street art is so much more than vandalism; it has a long history as a form of social protest and political expression. One prominent use of that is to express discontent with the status quo and raise the often-muted voices of disempowered communities. For example, one of the earliest significant instances of street art politicizing in the Global South was in Latin America during the 1980s, with murals used as social mobilization tools and national identity in the aftermath of dictatorships (Pérez, 2020). Using colorful imagery and powerful slogans, artists embody the messages of resistance and solidarity that counteracted the oppressive regimes of the time.

Street art still acts as a platform for social change in modern times. The Black Lives Matter movement, for one, has brought street art into the spotlight around the world as artists respond to systemic racism and police violence. From early 2020, murals of George Floyd, Breonna Taylor, and other well-known public figures blossomed across the United States following these deaths to create sites of mourning, remembrance, and activism in public spaces (Smith, 2022). These stark visual statements memorialize the lost and promote cries for justice, showcasing street art's ability to express the shared grief and anger of the community.

Also, street art's response to environmental issues has risen recently. Artists such as Anna Garforth and the collective The Underbelly Project, for example, use their work to draw attention to climate change and ecological degradation. Street art speaks to urgency and purpose, with vivid imagery that stirs public discourse and motivates action. This combination of aesthetics with activism strengthens the role of street art as a platform for people to raise their problems and support change in their neighborhoods (McCoy, 2021).

Street Art Commercialization

In an era where street art has the potential to redefine the art world, the increasing commercialization of this genre brings with it critical inquiries regarding authenticity, ownership, and the role commodification plays in compromising the intentions of artists. You are walking the balance between preserving their message and entering the exclusivity of art(identity). Sales of works by artists like Banksy and Shepard

Fairey at auctions have reflected the tension between grassroots activism and possible exploitation by commercial interests (Williams, 2022).

Street art can be commodified in several ways. "street art" traditionally refers to art made by artists in a non-permission context. However, the context is adjusted when certain street artists start receiving corporate sponsorship or commission. What was once spontaneous, often as a tendentious act of defiance at the state, had the potential in this scenario to become public art for the masses, challenging artists ethically in terms of artistic freedom and the original intentions behind the creations. The art market's inclination to favor high-value, saleable works can drown out the voices street art so passionately wants to uplift. Despite claims from the corporate world that such changes would help preserve the spirit of street art, critics say all it does is dilute their radical potential, lowering it to the role of decoration minus the critical impulse behind its inception.

The issue of "art-washing," in which corporations sponsor street art projects to improve their public image as they gentrify urban neighborhoods (Bishop, 2022), is also a consequence of the commercialization of street art. As artists collaborate with the chemical, plastic, and mineral industries, do they sacrifice their authority as critics of social and political evils in exchange for beautifying spaces? Such instances raise complicated questions about politically charged art, as the same institutions responsible for the social problems are also becoming curators of the solutions, counter-narratives, etc.

As a result, many artists have turned to social media to take control of their narratives and push back against commercial demands. Platforms like Instagram enable artists to post their work directly to the public, bypassing gallery systems. This democratization of art appreciation directly opposes the current art market structure, instead focusing on a direct connection between the artists and their respective communities. Direct audience participation in such installations enables artists to maintain the criticality of their art production and continue participating in social issues without commercial gallery restrictions (Kelley, 2020).

In conclusion, although the development of street art as a commercialized art form has broadened its exposure, it has also created much controversy surrounding authenticity,

commercialization, and social responsibility issues. Much of this is necessary as activists still have generative potential to mobilize communities and inspire social change. However, artists must maintain a critical eye towards the commercial framework around this work. While street art remains an active part of the urban scape, continuing as a medium of resistance and commentary, it is important to preserve and develop its place to pay homage to its rich history.

Community Impact

Street art reflects not just individual and political tales but has a substantial impact on urban communities. Its capacity to nurture civic engagement, inspire cultural identity, and influence collective experience is profound. Street art is also intertwined with community dynamics in nuanced—and often contradictory—ways, especially when the topics of revitalization and gentrification are involved.

Urban Renewal and Gentrification

Street art is now considered a significant player in urban revitalization, making neighborhoods more aesthetically and socioculturally vibrant. In the face of decreasing public space and economic crises in urban environments, numerous municipalities have adopted street art to bolster tourism and economic investment. Murals, installations, and other forms of street art can beautify the urban landscape, making it more attractive to visitors and potential residents (Taylor, 2024).

For example, Miami's Wynwood Walls have become an internationally recognized open-air street art museum." A once-declined neighborhood, Wynwood has gentrified hard, partly due to its reputation as a destination for street artists. Property values have increased, and local businesses have flourished with new cafes, boutiques, and art galleries to meet the demands of the growing number of tourists and residents (Anderson, 2021). However, when such revitalization occurs, it often does so at a price — even as the improved conditions can bring new life to struggling neighborhoods. Long-term residents, especially those from low-income backgrounds, risk being pushed out as property values increase and new projects spring up.

Street art's gentrifying effects can create tension in communities, and the whole issue raises questions about who is gaining the upper hand in the story of urban change. However, with gentrification, so many artists have been priced out of these areas, and

the original community that helped to build out characterized these spaces has been marginalized or eliminated. When street art projects are organized without consultation or involvement of residents, the risk of cultural erasure increases. In the Kensington area of Philadelphia, for example, community organizations explain how outside artists are brought in to create works that do not align with their culture, resulting in long-term residents feeling alienated (McCoy, 2021).

Engaging Local Voices

Acknowledging the legitimate concerns of commercialization and gentrification, many artists and organizations actively encourage community engagement in street art projects. Communities worldwide are using artwork to reclaim their spaces and narratives, sharing their local voices in public art as a response to a rapidly changing world in which events come crashing through their doors and extreme social and economic inequality turns their lives upside down. Such murals and community art projects promote dialogue and give the local people a sense of ownership. Community-oriented initiatives such as "The Bushwick Collective" in Brooklyn create avenues for local street artists to collaborate on projects that elevate and highlight the struggles and histories of the very neighborhoods in which they are placed (Davis, 2018).

Collectively, they can also provide spaces for marginalized voices to be heard by sharing their stories and experiences through art. Community-led murals focused on social issues like immigration, criminal justice reform, and mental health have emerged in cities nationwide, often offering a space for reflection and healing within communities. In Southern California, the "Murals of La Mesa" project invites local artists to create works that embody their experiences and desires for the community — thereby increasing awareness of the relationship between art and community identity (Smith, 2022).

In addition, involving residents in street art initiatives can instill a sense of agency and pride in communities. People feel their voices and stories are represented in public art, which brings social cohesion and strengthens community ties. In this way, the collective creation of art may bring people together to be used for experience between people who may have been divided into several areas based on race, class, or culture.

This fosters community engagement, and educational programs based on street art can promote cultural literacy and artistic skills among local youth. Require workshops on street art techniques, the history of street art, and critique in after-school programs so young people can express their creativity and enhance their contribution to their community. These initiatives instill artistic skills and infuse discussions around social justice, identity, and civic engagement, providing young artists—and community leaders of tomorrow—with the requisite tools to help with the change.

Finally, the influence of street art on urban communities is significant, illustrating a nuanced relationship between revitalization, gentrification, and community identity. Street art can beautify neighborhoods and facilitate cultural participation but can also contribute to commercialization and the displacement of long-time residents. Through its focus on community engagement and local voices, street art has the potential to be a powerful vehicle for expression, solidarity, and social change. Thus, the dynamic interplay between the heart and soul of communities preserves the identity of their streets and environments.

References

Anderson, L. (2021). *The impact of street art on urban gentrification: A case study of Wynwood Walls*.

Bishop, C. (2022). *Art-washing and the commercialization of street art*.

Coy, J. (2021). *Street art and community dynamics: The case of Kensington, Philadelphia*.

Davis, M. (2018). *Community engagement in street art: The Bushwick Collective*.

Davis, M. (2018). *Understanding street art: Cultural significance and community engagement*.

Ferrell, J. (2016). Graffiti, street art and the politics of complexity. *ROSS, Jeffrey Ian. Routledge Handbook of Graffiti and Street Art. Nova York: Routledge*.

Friedman, A. (2020). *Street art and identity: The role of urban art in social movements*.

Kelley, R. (2020). *Social media as a tool for street artists: Control and narrative in the digital age*.

MacDonald, H. (2019). *Graffiti: A history of urban expression*.

Pérez, J. (2020). *Murals and mobilization: Street art in Latin America*.

Smith, R. (2022). *Street art and social movements: The Black Lives Matter murals*.

Smith, R. (2022). *The impact of street art in the Black Lives Matter movement*.

Taylor, S. (2024). *Urban revitalization through street art: Aesthetic and sociocultural impacts*.

Williams, T. (2023). *Banksy and the commodification of street art*.