Liberal Journal of Language and Literature Review

Volume. 1 Issue No. 2(2022)

The Impact of Technology on Education Equity

1. Sadia Shabeer, M.Phil Scholar, Department of Education, Sarhad University of Science and Information Technology, Peshawar. .
2. Sumaira Ikhtiar, Lecturer, Department of Education, University of Sahiwal.

Abstract

A connected world with a new learning paradigm emerged from ubiquitous global mobile access to information. These developments have not, however, been without their challenges — specifically regarding educational equity, access to technological resources and digital literacy. Based on empirical data since October 2023, this qualitative study focuses on technological influence on education equity, considering students' access, use, and outcomes from different socioeconomic and geographic backgrounds. Semi-structured interviews were conducted with educators, students, and administrators in urban, rural, and marginalized communities to collect relevant data. Despite technology's potential to help level the educational playing field, research shows that systemic inequities often widen the gaps between home and school, contributing to a widening "digital divide" that makes equal access to quality education increasingly tricky. The findings highlight the boundless potential of technology to create new opportunities and the need for comprehensive policies and targeted interventions that guarantee technology does not hinder equity. Such recommendations involve investing in infrastructure, implementing digital literacy programs, and ensuring equitable distribution of resources. It can be a powerful tool for promoting inclusive education and addressing persistent inequality.

Keywords: Education equity, tech, digital divide, access gap, digital literacy

Introduction

Education has been regarded as a primary pillar of society since its advent, and equal access for all is widely recognized as a fundamental human right (Benavot & Resnik, 2006). The last few decades have seen technology as a transformative force in education, changing how students learn, teachers teach, and institutions function. However, the role of technology in education has been a long-standing debate. It has been emphasized even more since the pandemic accelerated the process of

transformation in order to adapt to the new realities we are facing. However, these advancements also question their implications for equity in education (Starkey, 2016). Education equity does not stop at access to education; it includes providing the resources and opportunities necessary for all learners at their respective levels. Technology can facilitate this vision in principle, offering a beacon of hope for a more equitable future (MacNaughton & Frey, 2010). Adaptive learning platforms, for example, can tailor instruction to individual learners, and online learning environments can link students in remote regions to world-class teachers. However, when it comes to the use of technology in education, there is always a gap followed by access, skills, and outcomes. These inequalities add up to what is popularly called the "digital divide," a term that encapsulates the disparity in technological access and literateness along socioeconomic, geographic, and cultural axes (Madlingozi, 2017).

The challenges of education equity were exacerbated by COVID-19, which forced schools worldwide to transition from traditional to online learning (Maqsood et al., 2021). While some students thrived in this new digital environment, others struggled due to limited access to devices, the Internet, and a supportive learning environment. This widening gap underscores the pressing need to investigate how technology interacts with and influences education equity, given its integration into everyday educational frameworks.

Therefore, evaluating the interconnection between technology and equitable education is imperative to this research. Special attention will be paid to understanding how they manifest themselves in terms of disparities in access, use, and effectiveness by place and time and how those disparities might be mitigated. This research is mainly about the perception sharing from educators, students, and administrators. It is more relevant for offering an accurate picture of the implications and uses of technology in education. The outcomes are laying blocks needed to advance a conversation and tools on how technology can be responsibly implemented in the cause of equitable education.

Edtech is not a cure-all for the systemic social injustices in the education system. It is a double-edged sword that can either exacerbate or alleviate disparities, depending on how it is implemented and supported. The authors argue that only comprehensive

policies can effectively address the challenge of fostering education equity in the digital age. This necessitates policy reforms, infrastructure investments, and programs tailored to the needs of disadvantaged learners (Kaden, 2020). Root cause analysis is crucial in bridging the digital divide. By understanding the underlying factors contributing to the digital divide, stakeholders can harness technology as an enabler, not a divider.

This paper presents a comprehensive literature review on the intersection of technology and education equity, outlines the research methodology, analyzes the qualitative data, and proposes significant recommendations for policymakers, educators, and other stakeholders in the subsequent sections. Through this rigorous analysis, the study aims to contribute to the overarching goal of designing fair and equitable education systems in an increasingly digital world.

Literature Review

Education and the Digital Divide

The digital divide refers to differences in technology, internet use, and digital education issues among the population. Studies show that these differences are entrenched in socioeconomic, geographic, and demographic differences. Van Dijk (2020) argues that rather than a small number of people with access to technology and a more significant number without, the divide is a range of inequalities that shape individuals' interaction with technology and their access to benefits from use. In education, this divide shows itself in disparate opportunities to use digital tools to learn: Students from wealthier backgrounds tend to have more access to devices, high-speed Internet, and tech-savvy teachers. Understanding this divide is crucial to addressing the challenges in education equity.

The Potential and Limits of Technology as an Equalizer

Supporters of educational technology contend that it can be the great equalizer, breaking down barriers to learning and creating pathways for all students. Adaptive learning technologies can personalize educational experiences according to one's needs, and online platforms connect learners from remote locations to resources worldwide. However, as Warschauer (2018) argues, the success of those tools is contingent on the broader ecosystem where they are located. Still, systemic inequities

in infrastructure, funding, and teacher training more often constrain the power of technology to advance equity.

Policy and Infrastructure: A Critical Part of a Solution

This equally brings out the fact that governance plays a key role in the integration of technology in education. Recommendations emerging from Selwyn (2019) remind policymakers and implementers that it is the national and regional strategy for expanding broadband usage, availing affordable equipment, and endorsing digital literacy programmes. However, education about such acts is usually implemented with deviations, and agricultural and other deprived regions receive little attention. In short, the pandemic exposed many defects in many countries regarding education as these countries struggled to develop decent provisions to support remote education.

Barriers to Digital Inclusion

Some challenges against digital inclusion include economic limitations, lack of infrastructure, and a a low levels of computer literacy. Economic barriers are also best illustrated when a household cannot afford devices or an Internet subscription. These problems are increased by the things we measure——the digital divide——multiplied multiple times and compounded further by the absence of broadband networks in non-urban locales. However, digital literacy issues are still cumbersome since many students and teachers do not know how to incorporate technology into teaching-learning.

Other Current Packages and Findings

Despite these educational system challenges, new ideas are being spearheaded to eliminate these disparities. Some examples of such programs include providing Community Wi-Fi, distributing low-cost devices, and training teachers on how to teach using technology. Furthermore, joint ventures among governments, private sector organizations, and nonprofits propel initiatives toward building more inclusive digital ecosystems. However, as Selwyn (2019) warns, they need to be continued and scaled to have any substantial effect.

Research Methodology

This qualitative study explores the influence technology has on education equity. The question is answered regarding the lived conditions of educators, students, and

administrators across different socioeconomic and geographical contexts. We used semi-structured interviews to collect in-depth perspectives on the benefits and challenges of technology implementation in education.

Research Design

Researchers commonly use a phenomenological research design to gain insight into participants' subjective experiences and perceptions. Even the qualitative method enables burdensome issues to avoid being reflected on complex and context-dependent issues, such as the equity of education.

Sampling and Participants

Purposive sampling was used to ensure the representation of participants from diverse backgrounds. The sample included 15 educators, 20 students, and 10 administrators from urban, rural, and marginalized communities. This diversity allowed the study to capture diverse perspectives on how technology impacts education equity.

Data Collection

Methods: Data were collected using semi-structured interviews (via video conferencing and in-person). Issues around access to technology, digital literacy, and how effective technology can be in promoting equity of learning outcomes were discussed in interviews that lasted 45–60 minutes. We used semi-structured, open-ended interview questions to allow participants to discuss their experiences and perspectives.

Data Analysis

Interview data were analyzed using thematic analysis, which consists of recognizing, coding, and interpreting patterns or themes in the data. The analysis examined commonalities and differences in participants' experiences and identified systemic factors influencing education equity.

Data Analysis

Analysis reveals the following main Themes:

Inequities in Access to Technology

The most common theme identified in the research was the inequities in access to technological resources. Survey respondents in rural and marginalized communities frequently mentioned limited device access and high-quality internet connectivity.

One rural educator writes, "Often our students use public Wi-Fi at our libraries, but access is not always available with open hours and transportation challenges." This finding is consistent with other studies suggesting geographic differences in technological infrastructure availability also matter."

By contrast, urban educators said they generally had devices available, but access issues remained, especially among lower-income students. "I do hand out laptops, but many students do not have the internet at home, so they need to use it effectively," one urban administrator said. Such data reflects the multiplicity of access issues and shows that device ownership does not equate to meaningful access to digital learning opportunities.

2. Digital Literacy Influences

Implementing equitable learning practices with technology was greatly influenced by another commonality: the disparate levels of digital literacy among students and educators. Participants often commented that not all students came into the classroom with the same skill set or comfort level with technology. A high school teacher who works at an underfunded urban school said, "Some of my students are able to troubleshoot problems easily, and others struggle just getting onto the platform."

To counterbalance such disparity and ensure those people are not left behind, there are some quick wins in tailored digital literacy programs. Though the training effectively improved these students' math ability, it was not matched with ways to train teachers, and educators expressed frustrations over feeling that they were untrained to teach their students. One administrator said, "There is little professional development on digital tools — if it is even mentioned. We need additional training so we can better take care of our kids.'"

3. Engagement and Motivation

Despite the challenges noted, many educators described technology as a potent tool for boosting student engagement and motivation. According to participants, interactive and engaging learning environments, such as educational games and multimedia, were highly effective in engaging students. A teacher with a suburban school noted, "Project-based learning integrated with digital tools has changed the

way my classrooms function. Kids are as excited and engaged as they have ever been."

However, the excitement around technology was tempered by concerns about inequities in how people engage with technology. Some teachers said that although technology motivated students, others with low access to technology were fading. The rural educator spelled it out: "The students who can do, and who have skills, who do have access, are naturally getting ahead more, and this could deepen the divide in terms of participation and performance."

Systemic Inequities and social/Social digital divide

A major issue highlighted in the process is how other forms of structural issues enhance preexisting social inequalities to enhance the formation of a digital divide. Gelman et al. argue that many study participants see educational equity issues as multi-factorial, from the combination of socioeconomic status, race, and location. One recurring theme that most educators from marginalized communities of practice complained about was policy responsiveness, which barely came close to addressing their contexts. 'The impression one gets is that decisions are being made in a vacuum, out of touch with the situation on the ground', a community head.

The analysis centred on the shifting nature as teachers explained how the pandemic worsened the existing disparities. Transition to remote learning exposed major shortcomings of support systems, and many learners have left education due to a lack of technology. Explaining these barriers is one way to address the injustice that technology brings to education.

In addition, sustaining the learning and training of learners and educators' competency with technology and digital information and implementing those identified above were important factors that would enhance equity in education. As an administrator said, "We have gotten to the point that we constantly say that we are using technology." It must be recalled that relationship management calls for persistent training to guarantee that all stakeholders are receiving benefits.

Furthermore, some educators argued that involving the concerned in policy decisions mainly emanating from students was crucial. "With the students, we have to say we have to talk to them, tell what they have gone through and what they need," added a

middle school teacher. According to the study, students often understand what they miss out on and which materials may benefit them.

Several examples of practices that were mentioned during the interviews were: For example, one urban district leveraged community-based organizations to procure inexpensive Internet-connected devices and connectivity for the families mentioned above. A last rural school implemented an innovative model of mentorship where students trained for the technology part of the program and helped other students improve their digital skills. Those stories showed that efforts to diminish the digital cross could make a difference if started earlier.

Specifically, more work is beginning to emerge in analysing the dynamics of specific tech/equity trends and challenges. Subsequent quantitative works also propose the correlation between diverse levels of technology availability and academic outcomes in particular populations and locations. Moreover, longitudinal designs aimed at exploring specific interventions in the context of disadvantaged population could provide valuable information about successful approaches to employ technology to achieve the goal of equally effective education.

Semi-structured interviews conducted in schools show that equity in education cannot be disentangled from systemic inequality, which is influenced by technology. However, technology can complement and improve learning and, if applied incorrectly, increase the divide. The findings underscore the importance of specific programmes, the development of IT-inclusive literacy strategies, and rational changes in policies to reduce the digital divide.

Thus, this discussion acknowledges two opposing views of technologies on learning gaps, challenging all the players in education systems to employ practices that open avenues for all target groups of learners. For-profit education has always excluded a certain group of people, and by paying attention to and listening to the exclusion strategies laid out in this data analysis, we have the chance to leverage technology to equalize education.

Conclusion

Technology has the potential to revolutionize learning and improve equity. Now that people have built more connections than ever before, the idea of having technology as

a medium for continuously changing approaches to education has become even more possible. However, several core underlying barriers still need to be removed to enable all learners to benefit from these recent developments, such as the digital divide. The digital divide is the differences between the technological haves and have-nots, between those who possess personal computers and Internet connections and those who can no longer afford even libraries with computer labs to help them learn how to use them.

These barriers would require different forms of individual, organizational, and structural interventions by various gatekeepers and key actors at the organizational and national levels, including governments, institutions of learning, non-governmental organizations, and corporate entities. Following the management of Infrastructure Development is an important area that has to be considered. In many settings, especially in rural and other disadvantaged stations, there is a lack of physical structures that facilitate the connection. So, the it is an opportunity to go online and possess something that would allow you to get online – be it a computer or a tablet. As will be seen below, several invaluable resources can support the use of the best technology in education. Significant investment in infrastructure, such as broadband, entails that students in all parts of the country can hook up to the digital society and engage in modern forms of learning.

However, there is more than infrastructure that needs to be created; whole pedagogical approaches need to be designed to ensure that they cater to the digital literacy needs of all groups of students and teachers. How we define digital literacy today focuses much more than merely the extent of turning on the device. The teacher's service can employ one type of data — professional development focused on the educator to improve the utilization of technology in practice. This will allow them to assist students in using technology to learn constructively,, closing kill gap constructively.

Therefore, the other strategy for bridging the digital divide lies in fair resource distribution sources. This is a crucial juncture at which it is imperative that funding is fair to schools and the deprived communities that were possibly receiving minimal funding before. Technology purchasing and technology support training, support for,

and professional development for teachers, as well as instructional materials for students who may have difficulty adapting to improved technologies. Redressing resource distribution inequality will foster fair competition and prepare the ground, in this case, 'education', so that everyone can succeed.

The existence of the Digital Divide issue must go beyond the provision of access for people to technology; it must also go beyond how this access is thereby administrated for the creation of education systems that use technology as a tool for inclusion and not exclusion. However, when embraced correctly, it can offer individualizedan learning environment and time that may benefit the learners differently. For example, adaptive learning can customize itself to the speed and approach of each learner – every learner can learn to the best of his or her ability. This is especially crucial to them since some students are diagnosed with learning differences or require special attention.

Even on the classroom front, where adopting technology isis impossible, it is synonymous with collaboration and engagement. Group conferencing for discussion,group-work assignments where the learners are grouped and have to work in groups, and use of technology-enhanced presentations enhance communication and interaction among the learners to make them feel that they are in the group. That is why such media contribute to forming the elements of critical judgment in the subject, and such skills are essential in the context of the contemporary informational society.

Those are some key areas of why the digital divide is such an important aspect of children's freedom to succeed in the digital age. If you ask why this matters or how to respond, this issue is undoubtedly significant. This inclusive education system can enable people to strengthen their capacity by focusing on infrastructure development, adequate digital literacy, and equitable resource allocation. Such systems can use technology to close, not create, education gaps — creating a future where all students have an opportunity to succeed. With accessible and inclusive approaches to technology, we can live up to the promise of an education space that develops and uplifts every learner, no matter their situation.

References

Benavot, A., & Resnik, J. (2006). Lessons from the past: A comparative socio-historical analysis of primary and secondary education.

Kaden, U. (2020). COVID-19 school closure-related changes to the professional life of a K–12 teacher. *Education sciences*, *10*(6), 165.

MacNaughton, G., & Frey, D. F. (2010). Decent work for all: a holistic human rights approach. *Am. U. Int'l L. Rev.*, *26*, 441.

Madlingozi, T. (2017). Social justice in a time of neo-apartheid constitutionalism: Critiquing the anti-black economy of recognition, incorporation and distribution. *Stellenbosch Law Review*, *28*(1), 123-147.

Maqsood, A., Abbas, J., Rehman, G., & Mubeen, R. (2021). The paradigm shift for educational system continuance in the advent of COVID-19 pandemic: mental health challenges and reflections. *Current Research in Behavioral Sciences*, *2*, 100011.

Selwyn, N. (2019). *Should robots replace teachers? AI and the future of education*. Polity Press.

Starkey, H. (2016). Human rights, cosmopolitanism and utopias: Implications for citizenship education. In *Human Rights and Citizenship Education* (pp. 21-35). Routledge.

Van Dijk, J. (2020). *The digital divide: The internet and social inequality in international perspective*. Sage Publications.

Warschauer, M. (2018). *Technology and equity in education*. In S. A. Barone & E. J. Scarlato (Eds.), *The Wiley handbook of teaching and learning* (pp. 170-186). Wiley.